

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Answer to an open problem proposed by E Barkai and J Klafter

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2004 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 9919 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/37/42/006)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.64 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 19:25

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004) 9919-9922

PII: S0305-4470(04)78275-X

# Answer to an open problem proposed by E Barkai and J Klafter

Fu-Yao Ren<sup>1</sup>, Wei-Yuan Qiu<sup>1</sup>, Yun Xu<sup>1</sup> and Jin-Rong Liang<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China

E-mail: fren@fudan.edu.cn

Received 22 March 2004, in final form 9 September 2004 Published 6 October 2004 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/37/9919 doi:10.1088/0305-4470/37/42/006

## Abstract

In a negative answer to the open problem proposed by E Barkai and J Klafter, it is proved that the theory presented by Amblard *et al* is not consistent with the GER. We suggest applying a theory in terms of a fractional Fokker–Planck equation to model the experiment measured by Amblard *et al*. The result obtained is consistent with the statement by Amblard *et al* (1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 4470) that the numerical pre-factors of the power law would be modified by local geometry and are not exact.

PACS number: 87.15.-v

# 1. Introduction

In a recent experiment Amblard *et al* [1] measured anomalous transport properties of magnetic beads embedded in a three-dimensional polymer network. In their paper, Amblard *et al* suggested a theory describing the motion of the beads.

Amblard *et al* found that when the beads are subjected to an external uniform force  $\vec{F}$ , the drift follows

$$\langle x_{\parallel}(t) \rangle_F \sim t^p$$
, with  $p = 0.76 \pm 0.03$ , (1)

where  $x_{\parallel}$  is the component of  $\vec{x}$  along  $\vec{F}$ . In addition, they showed that the response to the external bias is linear. The authors also measured the diffusion of the beads in the absence of the field, and found

$$\langle x_{\parallel}^{2}(t) \rangle_{0} \sim t^{q}, \qquad \text{with} \quad q = 0.73 \pm 0.01.$$
 (2)

These observed anomalous power laws (1) and (2) can be readily explained if we consider the bead deforming the filaments of the cage surrounding it. Indeed, let us consider such a

0305-4470/04/429919+04\$30.00 © 2004 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK 9919

filament with bending constant  $\kappa$ , in a solvent of viscosity  $\eta$ . If *s* is the internal curvilinear coordinate along the polymer and r(t, s) the transverse deformation of the filament, then the equation of movement for r(t, s) is

$$\eta \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} = \kappa \frac{\partial^4 r}{\partial s^4} + f(t, s), \tag{3}$$

where f(t, s) is the force acting on the filament. It is straightforward to show that the Green function, F(t, s), associated with this equation can be written in the following scaling form:

$$F(t,s) = \frac{1}{\eta s} F\left(\frac{\eta s^4}{\kappa t}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{\eta^{3/4} \kappa^{1/4} t^{1/4}} \tilde{F}\left(\frac{\eta s^4}{\kappa t}\right). \tag{4}$$

If, for the sake of simplicity, we assume that the magnetic bead applies a constant point force, f at s = 0, then the displacement of the centre of mass of the bead is given by

$$\langle x \rangle_f \approx \langle r(t,0) \rangle_f = f \int_0^t F(t-t',0) \, \mathrm{d}t' \approx \frac{4}{3} f \frac{\tilde{F}(0)t^{3/4}}{\eta^{3/4} \kappa^{1/4}}.$$
 (5)

In the absence of the external force, the bead movement is dominated by the thermal motions of the surrounding filaments to which it is coupled. In this case, the mean-square displacement of the bead is the mean-square displacement of the filament,

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_0 = \langle r^2(t,s) \rangle_0 = k_B T \eta \int ds' \int_0^t F^2(t-t',s-s') dt' \propto \frac{k_B T t^{3/4}}{\eta^{3/4} \kappa^{1/4}}.$$
 (6)

It is interesting to note that, when one evaluates the numerical pre-factors in (5) and (6), one obtains a semi-quantitative agreement with known values of the *f*-actin rigidity [2]. The power laws of the time dependence described by (5) and (6),  $t^{3/4}$ , are not altered if one considers the bead interacting simultaneously with several filaments of the cage. The details of local geometry should modify numerical pre-factors in these theoretical formulae; undoubtedly, the bead has to push against several filaments simultaneously while the confined geometry must change the effective friction constants involved. In [3], Barkai and Klafter pointed out that although the validity of the generalized Einstein relation (GER) has not been discussed by the authors of [1], their measurements provide a direct opportunity to check this relation. When analysing the corrected results of [1] one finds that to a good approximation (equation (7)), the GER [4]

$$\left\langle x_{\parallel}^{2}(t)\right\rangle_{0} = \frac{2k_{B}T\left\langle x_{\parallel}(t)\right\rangle_{F}}{|\vec{F}|} \tag{7}$$

is valid. They conclude that the GER is well suited to describe the anomalous transport properties. They could not conclude whether the theory presented by Amblard *et al* is consistent with the GER. It would be interesting to clarify this issue. In section 2, we answer this open problem. In section 3, we give a theory to the GER.

#### 2. Answer to the above open problem

In this section, we discuss the open problem, i.e., whether the theory presented by Amblard *et al* [1] is consistent with the GER. From the second equality of equation (4), we have

$$F(\zeta) = \zeta^{\frac{1}{4}} \tilde{F}(\zeta), \tag{8}$$

where  $\zeta = \eta s^4 / \kappa t$ . Since  $\tilde{F}(0) = \lim_{\zeta \to 0} [F(\zeta) / \zeta^{1/4}]$  and  $\tilde{F}(0) \neq 0$ , for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive number  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$  related with  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\zeta$ ,  $|\zeta| < \delta$ , we have

$$F(\zeta) \approx \tilde{F}(0)\zeta^{1/4}.$$
(9)

Hence,

$$F(t,s) \approx \frac{\tilde{F}(0)}{\eta^{3/4} \kappa^{1/4}} t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$
(10)

for all s such that  $|s| < \left(\frac{\kappa\delta}{\eta}\right)^{1/4} t^{1/4}$ . From (6) and (10), a simple calculation yields

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_0 \approx 2k_B T \frac{s \tilde{F}^2(0)}{\eta^{1/2} \kappa^{1/2}} t^{1/2}$$
 (11)

for  $|s| < \left(\frac{\kappa\delta}{\eta}\right)^{1/4} t^{1/4}$ . This implies that

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_0 < 2k_B T \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{F^2(0)}{\eta^{3/4} \kappa^{1/4}} t^{3/4}.$$
(12)

From (5) and (12), finally we have

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_0 < \frac{3\tilde{F}(0)}{4} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[ \frac{2k_B T}{f} \langle x \rangle_f \right]$$
(13)

for any give  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \to 0$  when  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , then for any give  $\rho < 1$  and for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_0 < \rho \frac{2k_B T}{f} \langle x \rangle_f. \tag{14}$$

But we know that  $\langle x^2 \rangle_0 = \frac{2k_BT}{f} \langle x \rangle_f$  [3]. This shows that the theory of [1] is not consistent with the GER.

## 3. GER for FFPE

In [5], in order to describe anomalous systems close to thermal equilibrium based on fractional derivatives, Metzler, Barkai and Klafter presented a one-dimensional fractional Fokker–Planck equation (FFPE):

$${}_{0}D^{\alpha}_{t}W(x,t) = GL_{\rm FP}W(x,t), \qquad (15)$$

where W(x, t) is the probability density function (pdf) at position x at time t. The L<sub>FP</sub> operator

$$L_{\rm FP} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{V'(x)}{m\eta_{\gamma}} + K_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \tag{16}$$

with the external potential V(x) [6] contains the anomalous diffusion constant  $K_{\gamma}$ , and the anomalous friction coefficient  $\eta_{\gamma}$  with the dimension  $[\eta_{\gamma}] = s^{\gamma-2}$ ; herein, *m* denotes the mass of the diffusion particle. And

$${}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}W(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{0}^{t}\mathrm{d}\tau \frac{W(x,\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}}, \qquad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$
(17)

Applying Laplace transformation to (15), it becomes

$$s^{\alpha}W(x,s) = G\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\frac{V'(x)}{m\eta_{\gamma}} + K_{\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right]W(x,s).$$
(18)

Anomalous diffusion in the homogeneous fractal medium in one dimension is characterized by the occurrence of a mean-square displacement of the form

$$\langle (\Delta x)^2(t) \rangle_0 = \frac{2K_{\gamma}}{\Gamma(1+\gamma)} t^{\gamma}$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

when no external driving force is applied to the particle. The extraction of moments  $\langle (\Delta x)^n \rangle$  for anomalous diffusion on fractal medium with dimension  $d_f$  is given by [7]

$$\langle (\Delta x)^n(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \cdot x^{d_f - 1} x^n W(x, t).$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

When the particle is assumed in a constant force field, say V(x) = -Fx, by the moment expression (equation (20)) for order one and from the solution of (18), we have the displacement of the particle

$$\langle (\Delta x)(t) \rangle_F = \frac{F\Gamma(d_f+1)}{m\eta_{\gamma}\Gamma(d_f+2)\Gamma(1+\gamma)} t^{\gamma}.$$
(21)

Thus, from (19), (21) and the generalized Enistein–Stocks–Smoluchowski relation [5]

$$K_{\gamma} = \frac{k_B T}{m \eta_{\gamma}},\tag{22}$$

we get the GER

$$\langle (\Delta x)(t) \rangle_F = \frac{1}{d_f + 1} \frac{F \langle (\Delta x)^2(t) \rangle_0}{k_B T}.$$
(23)

It shows that the GER holds for FFPE (equation (15)), and that the pre-factor of GER is not a universal constant, while it is given by  $d_f + 1$ , where  $d_f$  is the fractal dimension of the fractal structure considered and  $1 < d_f < 2$ . This just shows that the numerical pre-factors of the power law should be modified by the local geometry as Amblard *et al* pointed out. In particular, when  $d_f \rightarrow 1$ , it reduces to

$$\langle (\Delta x)(t) \rangle_F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{F \langle (\Delta x)^2(t) \rangle_0}{k_{\scriptscriptstyle B} T}.$$
(24)

This is just the result of [5].

## 4. Conclusion

It is proved that the theory presented by Amblard *et al* is not consistent with the GER. The theory in terms of a fractional Fokker–Planck equation (FFPE) can be well modelled for the experiment by Amblard *et al*. The result obtained by FFPE is consistent with the statement by Amblard *et al* [1] that the numerical pre-factors of the power law would be modified by local geometry and are not exact.

#### Acknowledgments

Project no. 10271031 is supported by NSFC and the Shanghai Priority Academic Discipline.

## References

- [1] Amblard F, Maggs A C, Yurke B, Pargellis A N and Leibler S 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 4470
- [2] Farge E and Maggs A C 1993 Macromolecules 26 5041
- [3] Barkai E and Klafter J 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 1134
- [4] Bouchaud J P and Georges A 1990 Phys. Rep. 195 127
- [5] Metzler R, Barkai E and Klafter J 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 3563
- [6] Risken H 1989 The Fokker-Planck Equation (Berlin: Springer)
- [7] Ren F Y, Liang J R, Qiu W Y and Xu Y 2003 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 7533